

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,257	02/25/2004	Richard Knight	KNGT002US0	2711
37141 7	590 05/04/2005		EXAM	IINER
	GRETHER + KELT	A, MINH D		
SUITE 3200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX	AUSTIN, TX 78759			
			DATE MAILED, 05/04/2005	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/786,257	KNIGHT, RICHARD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Minh D. A	2821				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Fe	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 February 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-10, 12-14, 16-17, 19, 21-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Morgan et al (US 6,608,453).

Regarding claim 1, Morgan discloses a control system for automated lights (40A-40C), comprising: a controller (22) having an output signal comprising a plurality of channels transmitted repeatedly in sequence', at least one addressable receiver for operating an automated light, the receiver being connected to the controller and arranged to receive at least some of the channels; wherein the controller is arranged to encode instruction for the or each receiver and send each encoded instruction using a predetermined number of consecutive channels of the output signal, the predetermined number being at least two; and wherein the receiver is arranged to combine information received from the predetermined number of consecutive channels to form one encoded instruction, decode the encoded instruction, and operate the automated light on the basis of the decoded instruction. See figures 1-4, col.5, lines 10-67 to col.17, lines 1-56.

Regarding claim 2, Morgan discloses that, the receiver comprises a memory; the encoded instruction refers to a location in said memory; and the receiver is arranged to

Application/Control Number: 10/786,257

Art Unit: 2821

decode the instruction by accessing the referred memory point. See col.7, lines 45-67 to col.12, lines 1-53.

Regarding claim 3. Morgan discloses that, the encoded instruction corresponds to an entry in a database and the receiver is arranged to decode the encoded instruction by accessing the database. See figures 2 and 4.

Regarding claim 4. Morgan discloses that, the controller is arranged to encode the instruction such that one channel of the consecutive channels contains information regarding which attribute of the automated light is to be controlled, and the other channel or channels continuous information regarding how that attribute is to be set.

See figures 1-4, col.5, lines 10-67 to col.16, lines 1-67.

Regarding claims 5, 10, 14, 17 and 28, Morgan discloses that, the predetermined number of consecutive channels. See figures 2-4.

Regarding claims 7, 12, 16, 19, 21-22, 27, 29 and 30, Morgan discloses that, the controller is arranged to transmit the output signal in accordance with the DMX protocol. See col.14, lines 1-32.

Regarding claim 8. Morgan discloses that, the encoded instruction corresponds to an entry in a database and the receiver is arranged to decode the encoded instruction by accessing the database. See figures 1-4, col.5, lines 10-67 to col.16, lines 1-67.

Regarding claim 9, Morgan discloses that, the controller (22) is arranged to encode the instruction such that one channel of the consecutive channels contains information regarding which attribute of the automated light is to be controlled, and the

Application/Control Number: 10/786,257

Art Unit: 2821

other channel or channels contains information regarding how that attribute is to be set. See figures 1-4, col.5, lines 10-67 to col.16, lines 1-67.

Regarding claim 13, Morgan discloses the controller is arranged to encode the instruction such that one channel of the consecutive channels contains information regarding which attribute of the automated light is to be controlled, and the other channel or channels contains information regarding how that attribute is to be set. See figures 1-4, col.5, lines 10-67 to col.16, lines 1-67.

Regarding claim 23, Morgan discloses that, a receiver arranged to receive an output signal comprising a plurality of channels transmitted repeatedly in sequence from a controller and operate the automated light on the basis of instructions received in the output signal; wherein the receiver is arranged to receive at least two consecutive channels for each instruction and combine the information received from all of the at least two channels to determine each instruction. See figures 1-4, col.5, lines 10-67 to col.17, lines 1-56.

Regarding claim 24, Morgan discloses an encoding an instruction at a controller; sending a data packet comprising a series of channels from the controller to a receiver, the encoded instruction being sent as a predetermined number of two or more channels of the data packet; receiving the data packet at the receiver; combining the information contained in the predetermined number of consecutive channels at the receiver so as to determine the encoded instruction; decoding the instruction; and controlling the light in accordance with the instruction. See figures 1-4, col.5, lines 10-67 to col.17, lines 1-56.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,257 Page 5

Art Unit: 2821

Regarding claims 25-26, Morgan discloses the receiver comprises a memory; the encoded instruction refers to a location in said memory; and the receiver decodes the instruction by accessing the referred memory point. See figures 2-4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6, 11, 15, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Morgan et al (US 6,608,453) in view of Lys et al (US 2005/0062440).

Regarding claims 6, 11, 15, 18 and 20, Morgan does not disclose each channel can be set to any one of 256 values. However, Lys discloses each channel can be set to any one of 256 values. See col.3, lines [0060] to [0061].

It would have been an obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ each channel can be set to any one of 256 values such as that suggested by Lys in lighting system of Mogan in order to provide a high light level for each automated lights.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sugden (US 5,406,176) and Lys et al (US 6,777,891) show a lighting control system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Minh A whose telephone number is (571) 272-1817. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (5:30 –2:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong, can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1553.

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Examiner

Minh A

Art unit 2821

4/27/05